## Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation Environmental Assessment

| Operator: TAQA North USA, Inc.   |
|--|
| Well Name/Number: Flat Lake 15-9H  |
| Location: NE SE Section 15 T37N R57E   |
| County: Sheridan, MT; Field (or Wildcat) Wildcat (Flat Lake)   |
|  |
| Air Quality  |
| (possible concerns)  |
| Long drilling time: No. 20-30 days drilling time.  |
| Unusually deep drilling (high horsepower rig): Heavy duty double derrick drilling rig to drill a Bakken      |
| formation single lateral horizontal well, 11,884'MD/7755'TVD.  |
| Possible H2S gas production: Slight possibility of H2S.  |
| In/near Class I air quality area: No Class I air quality area nearby.  |
| Air quality permit for flaring/venting (if productive): Yes, DEQ air quality permit required under 75-2-     |
| <u>211.</u>  |
| Mitigation:  |
| _X Air quality permit (AQB review)   |
| Gas plants/pipelines available for sour gas  |
| Special equipment/procedures requirements  |
| Other:   |
| Comments: Existing field infrastructure to handle gas. No concerns.  |
| <del></del>  |
| Water Quality  |
| Water Quality  |
| (possible concerns)  |
| Salt/oil based mud: Intermediate string hole will be drilled with synthetic based drilling fluids (oil based |
| invert mud system) and openhole horizontal production hole will be drilled with fresh water polymer          |
| drilling fluids. Surface casing hole will be drilled with a freshwater, and freshwater mud system.           |
| High water table: No high water table anticipated.   |
| Surface drainage leads to live water: No, live water nearby to this location.                                |
| Water well contamination: None, closest water wells in the area are about 5/8 of a mile to the northwest,    |
| about 5/8 of a mile to the northeast, about 5/8 of a mile to the southwest, about 3/4 of a mile to the       |
| northwest and ¾ of a mile to the southeast from this location. Depth of these stock and domestic water       |
| wells are from 40' to 240'. Surface hole will be drilled with freshwater and freshwater drilling muds. The   |
| surface casing setting depth. of 1250' should be below all freshwater zones.                                 |
| Porous/permeable soils: No, sandy gravelly clay soils.   |
| Class I stream drainage: No, Class I stream drainages.   |
| Mitigation:  |

Berms/dykes, re-routed drainage

X Closed mud system

\_\_ Lined reserve pit X Adequate surface casing

X Off-site disposal of solids/liquids (in approved facility)

X Other: Freshwater drilling fluids will be land applied with surface owner approval.

Comments: 1250' surface casing well below freshwater zones in adjacent water wells. Also, covering Fox Hills aquifer

## Soils/Vegetation/Land Use

(possible concerns)

| Steam crossings: None anticipated.   |
|--|
| High erosion potential: Moderate cut, up to 17.9' and small fill, up to 4.6', required.  |
| Loss of soil productivity: None, location to be restored after drilling well, if nonproductive. If productive  |
| unused portion of drillsite will be reclaimed.   |
| Unusually large wellsite: No, large well site 400'X400'  |
| Damage to improvements: Slight, surface use is a CRP field.  |
| Conflict with existing land use/values: Slight   |
| Mitigation   |
| Avoid improvements (topographic tolerance)   |
| Exception location requested   |
| X Stockpile topsoil  |
| Stream Crossing Permit (other agency review)   |
| X Reclaim unused part of wellsite if productive  |
| Special construction methods to enhance reclamation  |
| Other  |
| Comments: Access will use existing county road, Ueland Road and existing unnamed section line road.  |
| A short road will be constructed into this location, about 30' Surface hole (freshwater) cuttings will be  |
| buried on site. Oil based invert mud cuttings will be trucked to an approved waste disposal facility. Oil  |
| based drilling fluids will be recycled to the next location or returned to the mud company's recycling   |
| facility. Freshwater surface fluids and horizontal freshwater polymer fluids and cuttings will be land   |
| applied. No concerns.  |
|  |
|  |
| Health Hazards/Noise   |
|  |
| (possible concerns)  |
| Proximity to public facilities/residences: Residences are, about 5/8 of a mile to the northeast, about 5/8 of  |
| a mile to the southwest, <sup>3</sup> / <sub>4</sub> of a mile to the northwest and 1 mile to the west from this location. Cemetery,   |
| about 5/8 of a mile to the south from this location.   |
| Possibility of H2S: <u>Yes, slight.</u>  |
| Size of rig/length of drilling time: <u>Heavy double drilling rig 20 to 30 days drilling time.</u>   |
| Mitigation:  |
| _X Proper BOP equipment  |
| Topographic sound barriers   |
| H2S contingency and/or evacuation plan   |
| Special equipment/procedures requirements  |
| Other:   |
| Comments: Adequate surface casing cemented to surface with working BOP stack should  |
| mitigate any problems.   |
|  |
| Wildlife/recreation  |
| (possible concerns)  |
| Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): None identified.  |
| Proximity to recreation sites: None identified.  |
| Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: No   |
| Conflict with game range/refuge management: No   |
| Threatened or endangered Species: Only species identified as threatened or endangered are the Whooping   |
| Crane and Piping Plover. Species of concern is the Sprague's Pipit. NH tracker website lists 15 species of   |
| concern. As follows: Bairds Sparrow, Le Conte's Sparrow, Nelson's Sparrow, Grasshopper Sparrow,  |
|  |
| Sprague's Pipit, Ferruginous Hawk, Chestnut Collared Longspur, Piping Plover, Black Tern, Sedge Wen, Yellow Rail, Bobolink Whooping Crane, McCowen's Longspur and Smooth Greensnake. The surface |

Mitigation:
\_\_\_ Avoidance (topographic tolerance/exception)

location is in a CRP field.

| Other agency review (DFWP, federal agencies, DSL)   |
|---|
| Screening/fencing of pits, drillsite  |
| Other:  |
| Comments: Private CRP surface lands. There maybe species of concern that maybe impacted b   |
| this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to what he would like done, if a  |
| species of concern is discovered at this location. The Board of Oil & Gas has no jurisdiction over private  |
| surface lands.  |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
| Historical/Cultural/Paleontological   |
| (possible concerns)   |
| Proximity to known sites: None identified.  |
| Mitigation  |
| avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception)   |
| other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies)   |
| Other:  |
| Comments: Private CRP surface lands. There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological   |
| sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his   |
| desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. The Board of   |
| Oil & Gas has no jurisdiction over private surface lands.   |
| Social/Economic   |
| (possible concerns)   |
| Substantial effect on tax base  |
| Create demand for new governmental services   |
| Population increase or relocation   |
| Comments: No concerns. Wildcat well within an existing oil field, Flat Lake Oil Field.  |
|   |
| Remarks or Special Concerns for this site   |
|   |
| Wildcat Bakken formation single lateral horizontal well, 11,884'MD/7755'TVD, within an existing oi  |
| field, Flat Lake Oil Field  |
|   |
| Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects   |
| Summary. Divaraction of Impacts and Summarive effects   |
| No long term impacts expected. Some short term impacts will occur, but can be mitigated in a short  |
| time.   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
| To the first of the second of |
| I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/does not) constitute a major  |
| action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/ <u>does</u>   |
| <u>not</u> ) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.  |
| Prepared by (BOGC): /s/Steven Sasaki  |
| (title:) Chief Field Inspector  |
| Date: September 15, 2011  |
| ·····   |

| Other Persons Contacted:   |
|--|
| Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, Groundwater Information Center website. |
|  |
| (Name and Agency)  |
| Sheridan County water wells  |
| (subject discussed)  |
| <u>September 15, 2011</u>  |
| (date)   |
| US Fish and Wildlife, Region 6 website                                       |
| (Name and Agency)  |
| ENDANGERED, THREATENED, PROPOSED AND CANDIDATE SPECIES MONTANA               |
| COUNTIES, Sheridan County  |
| (subject discussed)  |
| September 15, 2011   |
| (date)   |
| Montana Natural Heritage Program Website (FWP)                               |
| (Name and Agency)  |
| Heritage State Rank= S1, S2, S3, T37N R57E                                   |
| (subject discussed)  |
| _September 15, 2011  |
| (date)   |
|  |
| If location was inspected before permit approval:                            |
| Inspection date:   |
| Inspector:   |
| Others present during inspection:  |
|  |